

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

LACEY SIVAK,
Plaintiff,
v.

JUDGE ARACELI MARTÍNEZ-OLGUÍN,
et al.,
Defendants.

Case No. [24-cv-04592-JD](#)
[24-cv-04653-JD](#)
[24-cv-06543-JD](#)
[24-cv-06544-JD](#)

ORDER RE DISMISSAL

Pro se plaintiff Sivak is incarcerated in Idaho and is a frequent litigant in this District. Scores of his cases were assigned to the Hon. Araceli Martínez-Olguín as presiding district judge. After Judge Martínez-Olguín issued decisions adverse to Sivak, he filed four lawsuits against her. Judge Martínez-Olguín recused herself and the cases were randomly reassigned to this Court.

The four cases are dismissed. None of the cases plausibly alleges a claim of any sort, even when read generously for a pro se litigant. To the extent Sivak disagrees with Judge Martínez-Olguín's disposition of his applications to proceed in forma pauperis, he may appeal to the Ninth Circuit as circumstances warrant. He may not seek review by another judge in the District. *See Allied Chem. Corp. v. Daiiflon, Inc.*, 449 U.S. 33, 35 (1980); *see also Mullis v. United States Bankr. Ct.*, 828 F.2d 1385, 1392-93 (9th Cir. 1987) ("horizontal appeal" from one district court to another improper and "district court lacks authority to issue a writ of mandamus to another district court"). In addition, Judge Martínez-Olguín is absolutely immune from civil claims for damages alleged in connection with actions taken in her judicial capacity. *See Moore v. Brewster*, 96 F.3d 1240, 1243 (9th Cir. 1996).

1 The dismissals are with prejudice. No facts can overcome these barriers. The Clerk is
2 requested to terminate all pending motions and close the cases. No further filings by Sivak will be
3 accepted in these cases.

4 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

5 Dated: October 15, 2024

6
7 
8 _____
9 JAMES DONATO
10 United States District Judge
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

United States District Court
Northern District of California